

# MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

**Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)**

Deadline: 6

Application Reference: EN010136

Document Number: MRCNS-J3303-RPS-10157

Document Reference: S\_D6\_IoM\_TSC

27 February 2025

F04



Image of an offshore wind farm



MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Deadline 1	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	October 2024
F02	Deadline 3	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	November 2024
F03	Deadline 4	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	December 2024
F04	Deadline 6	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	February 2025

Prepared by:	Prepared for:
RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.

Signatories

Signed	
Name	David Goberman
Date	25/02/2025
Position	Chair of Isle of Man Territorial Sea Committee
For	Isle of Man Territorial Sea Committee
Signed	
Name	Peter Gaches
Date	25/02/2025
Position	Morgan Generation Assets Consents Lead
For	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND LIMITED AND ISLE OF MAN GOVERNMENT (TERRITORIAL SEA COMMITTEE) .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction .....	1
1.1.1	Overview .....	1
1.1.2	Morgan Generation Assets Elements under Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee) Remit .....	1
1.1.3	Overview of Morgan Generation Assets .....	2
1.1.4	Approach to SoCG .....	2
1.2	Summary of SoCG.....	3
1.2.1	Overview .....	3
1.2.2	Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed .....	3
1.3	Summary of consultation .....	4
1.4	Agreement log .....	10
1.4.1	Overview .....	10
1.4.2	Seascape, landscape and visual resources.....	11
1.4.3	Benthic ecology and physical processes .....	13
1.4.4	Fish and shellfish ecology .....	16
1.4.5	Shipping and lifeline ferry services.....	19
1.4.6	Socio-economics .....	25
1.4.7	Marine mammals.....	28
1.4.8	Offshore ornithology .....	32
1.4.9	Commercial fisheries.....	35
1.4.10	Other sea users.....	39
1.4.11	Manx National Heritage.....	41

### Tables

Table 1.1:	Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties. ...	3
Table 1.2:	Summary of pre-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC. ....	4
Table 1.3:	Summary of post-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC. ....	9
Table 1.4:	Position definitions and colour coding. ....	10
Table 1.5:	Agreement Log between the parties on seascape, landscape and visual resources. ....	11
Table 1.6:	Agreement Log between the parties on benthic ecology and physical processes.....	13
Table 1.7:	Agreement Log between the parties on fish and shellfish ecology. ....	16
Table 1.8:	Agreement Log between the parties on shipping and navigation. ....	19
Table 1.9:	Agreement Log between the parties on socio-economics.....	25
Table 1.10:	Agreement Log between the parties on marine mammals. ....	28
Table 1.11:	Agreement Log between the parties on offshore ornithology.....	32
Table 1.12:	Agreement Log between the parties on commercial fisheries. ....	35
Table 1.13:	Agreement Log between the parties on other sea users.....	39

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### Glossary

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Morgan Offshore Wind Project	The Morgan Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets and offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities.
Morgan Array Area	The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, scour protection, cable protection and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming part of the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets will be located.
Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets	This is the name given to the Morgan Generation Assets project as a whole (includes all infrastructure and activities associated with the project construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning).
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.

### Acronyms

Acronym	Description
ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EWG	Expert Working group
FLCP	Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan
HRA	Habitat Regulation Assessment
IoM Defa	Isle of Man Department for Environment, Food and Agriculture
IoMSPC	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company
IPMP	In-Principle Monitoring Plan
ISAA	Information to Support Appropriate Assessment
LCT	Landscape Character Types
LSE	Likely Significant Effect
MCA	Marine Character Area
MDS	Maximum Design Scenario
MFPO	Manx Fish Producers Organisation
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol
MNEF	Marine Navigation Engagement Forum

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Acronym	Description
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment
OFLCP	Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
TSC	Territorial Sea Committee
UWSMS	Underwater Sound Management Strategy
UXO	Unexploded Ordinance

# **1 Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)**

## **1.1 Introduction**

### **1.1.1 Overview**

1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') and Isle of Man Government Territorial Sea Committee (TSC), hereafter referred together as the parties. The SoCG sets out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets').

1.1.1.2 The Applicant has engaged with a number of Isle of Man stakeholders through consultation to date, including:

- Isle of Man Government (IoMG)
- Isle of Man Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture (IoM Defa)
- Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure (IoM DoI)
- Isle of Man Steam Packet Company (IoMSPC)
- Isle of Man Ronaldsway Airport
- Manx Fish Producers Organisation (MFPO)
- Manx National Heritage.

1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and IoM TSC is set out within the Rule 6 letter that was issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 05 August 2024 (PD-001). A single SoCG is being sought with the TSC as the overarching body representing various Departments of the Isle of Man government. The TSC is also responsible for the management of the Island's territorial sea. However, it should be noted that whilst there is overlap between the interest of the TSC and external Isle of Man stakeholders, this initial SoCG only represents the position of the TSC and cannot represent the views of the IoMSPC or MFPO.

1.1.1.4 This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority with an overview of the level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will facilitate further discussion between the parties and will be updated during the Morgan Generation Assets Examination and submitted at the Deadlines indicated initially in the Rule 6 letter (PD-001) and updated within the Rule 8 & Rule 9 letter (PD-002).

### **1.1.2 Morgan Generation Assets Elements under Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee) Remit**

1.1.2.1 The TSC is a cross-governmental committee which was set up to manage the Isle of Man's interests following the purchase of the Territorial Seas (including hydrocarbon, coal and mineral rights) by the Isle of Man Government from the United Kingdom Government in 1991. The elements of the Morgan Generation Assets which may affect



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

the interests of Isle of Man TSC are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).

1.1.2.2 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to Isle of Man Government TSC:

1.1.2.3 Assessment and proposed mitigation of effects on:

- Seascape, landscape and visual resources
- Benthic ecology and physical processes
- Fish and shellfish ecology
- Shipping and lifeline ferry services
- Social or economic receptors (direct and indirect)
- Marine mammals
- Offshore ornithology
- Commercial fisheries
- Other sea users.

1.1.2.4 Potential impacts upon Ramsar sites have been assessed within the draft HRA. The Isle of Man National Nature Reserves are designated under the Wildlife Act 1990. Therefore, the Isle of Man Marine Nature Reserves are considered within the Environmental Statement. Areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties on the Isle of Man Marine Nature Reserves is covered under the topic specific tables below.

1.1.2.5 The following topics have not been included in this SoCG as they are outside the remit of the Isle of Man TSC and are instead the subject to SoCGs with the relevant organisations indicated:

- Aviation and radar are covered by SoCG with Isle of Man Ronaldsway Airport (REP1-038)
- Cultural heritage (Terrestrial and marine) is covered by a Letter of comfort with Manx National Heritage (REP1-036).

### 1.1.3 Overview of Morgan Generation Assets

1.1.3.1 The Morgan Generation Assets is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the east Irish Sea. The Morgan Generation Assets will include offshore infrastructure and consists of:

- Morgan Array Area: This is where the wind turbines, Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs), foundations (for both wind turbines and OSPs), inter-array cables and interconnector cables will be located.

### 1.1.4 Approach to SoCG

1.1.4.1 This SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase and has been progressed during the examination phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by Isle of Man TSC within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Evidence Plan process and the Marine Navigation Engagement Forum (MNEF) that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by Isle

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

of Man TSC during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations and pre-examination meetings).

1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:

- Section 1.1: Introduction
- Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG
- Section 1.3: Summary of consultation
- Section 1.4: Agreement Log.

## 1.2 Summary of SoCG

### 1.2.1 Overview

1.2.1.1 This SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. The agreement logs present the position reached on 27 February 2025 (Deadline 6).

### 1.2.2 Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

**Table 1.1: Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties.**

Topic	Agreed
Seascape, landscape and visual resources	Agreed
Benthic ecology and physical processes	Agreed
Fish and shellfish ecology	Agreed
Shipping and lifeline ferry services	Agreed
Social or economic receptors (direct and indirect)	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Marine mammals	Agreed
Offshore ornithology	Agreed
Commercial fisheries	Agreed
Other sea users	Agreed
Manx National Heritage	Agreed



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.3 Summary of consultation

1.3.1.1 Table 1.2 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with Isle of Man Government TSC during the pre-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets.

1.3.1.2 Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with Isle of Man Government TSC during the post-application phases of the Morgan Generation Assets.

**Table 1.2: Summary of pre-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC.**

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
------	----------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------

#### **Evidence Plan benthic ecology, fish and shellfish ecology and physical processes Expert Working Group (EWG)**

29/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Department for Environment, Food and Agriculture (IoM Defa)	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Key project updates</li> <li>Presentation of the baseline characterisation and modelling approach</li> <li>Initial outputs of impact assessment</li> </ul>
14/03/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To present the updated baseline characterisation for the Morgan Generation Assets</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and initial impact assessment approach to agreement.</li> </ul>
11/07/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of statutory consultation responses</li> <li>Updates baselines</li> <li>Agreement log</li> </ul>
14/08/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of a technical note presenting the approach to physical processes modelling for the application.</li> </ul>
12/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To present the updates to the benthic ecology baseline characterisation to address statutory consultation responses. Physical processes and fish and shellfish ecology were not discussed.</li> </ul>
07/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation of the final impact assessment, final mitigation and monitoring requirements and progress to agreement.</li> </ul>

#### **Evidence Plan marine mammal EWG**

09/02/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To present the updated baseline characterisation, underwater sound modelling outputs, and cumulative assessment.</li> </ul>
03/08/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To present the updated assessment and to discuss statutory consultation responses.</li> </ul>

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
10/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of technical note with approach to addressing outstanding items for agreement.</li> </ul>
05/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Final impact assessment, final mitigation and monitoring requirements, and progress to agreement.</li> </ul>

### Evidence Plan offshore ornithology EWG

23/02/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To agree key receptor species and to present the interim assessment of impacts and discuss and agree the relevant regional populations and protected sites/qualifying interests for assessment and approach to HRA Stage 1 screening</li> <li>Discuss and agree scope of cumulative impact assessment and transboundary considerations</li> <li>To discuss and agree population assessment approaches and thresholds for LSE and integrity.</li> </ul>
05/05/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of the updated methodology for offshore ornithology HRA Stage 1 screening and the ISAA.</li> </ul>
30/06/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update to baseline characterisation for complete baseline data set and discuss and agree any amendments to previously agreed approaches. Statutory consultation responses.</li> </ul>
10/07/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of the technical note presenting the power analysis undertaken at the request of the EWG.</li> </ul>
19/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation of updated baseline characterisation and impact assessment for the Environmental Statement.</li> </ul>
08/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation of final impact assessment, comments on draft Environmental Statement, final mitigation and monitoring requirements.</li> </ul>
08/04/2024	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation of final impact assessment and HRA.</li> <li>Discussion on remaining outstanding agreements.</li> </ul>

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
<b>Marine Navigation Engagement Forum (MNEF)</b>				
10/10/2021	Meeting 1	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project introduction and development process</li> <li>Project timeline</li> <li>Project Design (Scoping) and Refinement</li> <li>Community and Maritime Engagement</li> <li>MNEF purpose and ToR</li> <li>Site selection in relation to shipping and navigation constraints</li> <li>Impacts of COVID-19 on data collection.</li> </ul>
06/05/2022	Meeting 2	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update - review of key themes from previous meeting</li> <li>Data Collection and NRA</li> <li>Impacts to ferry operators</li> <li>Relation of impacts on ferry routes with regulation and guidance</li> <li>Sensitivity of ferry operator schedules.</li> <li>Impacts of projects on Isle of Man economy/society</li> <li>Extent of incident data</li> <li>Safety of navigating in gaps</li> <li>Consequences of allisions with wind turbines.</li> </ul>
10/10/2022	Meeting 3	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Application process</li> <li>Cumulative impacts of multiple projects on ferry operations (responding to stakeholder feedback)</li> <li>How the cumulative impacts will be assessed or examined</li> <li>Introduction to Morgan/Morecambe combined transmission project.</li> </ul>
18/01/2023	Meeting 4	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and progress</li> <li>Update on assessment work completed since MNEF 3 – HAZID workshop, PEIR deliverables Morgan NRA, cumulative regional NRA and bridge simulations</li> <li>PEIR process and statutory consultation</li> <li>Project revisions and commitments</li> <li>Planned activities and next steps.</li> </ul>

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
21/09/2023	Meeting 5	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and progress</li> <li>PEIR assessment and key findings</li> <li>Project revisions</li> <li>Update on assessment work undertaken since MNEF 4</li> <li>DCO application process</li> <li>Planned activities and next steps.</li> </ul>
08/02/2024	Meeting 6	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Update on assessment work undertaken since MNEF 5 and consideration of Moir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm)</li> <li>DCO application process</li> <li>Planned activities and next steps.</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and progress.</li> </ul>

### Commercial fisheries consultation

29/06/2021	Meeting	Manx Fish Producers Organisation (MFPO)	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Morgan Array Area location.</li> <li>Co-existence during the operations and maintenance phase</li> <li>Cumulative impacts with other activities and developments in the region</li> <li>Potential impacts on fish stocks.</li> </ul>
15/02/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduction to project engagement with fisheries, surveys, fisheries liaison, scoping report, data collection, fisheries assessments and distinguish between queen and king scallop fisheries.</li> </ul>
24/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government MFPO	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify vessels affected by cable route surveys</li> <li>Project update</li> <li>Morgan array indicative layout and co-existence during the operations and maintenance phase</li> <li>Cumulative impacts with other activities and developments in the region</li> <li>Potential impacts on fish stocks.</li> </ul>

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
11/09/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Government IoM Defa MFPO	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update and presentation of changes made to the project since PEIR, including scallop mitigation zone</li> <li>Presentation on key issues raised during PEIR consultation</li> <li>Project commitments for long term Co-existence</li> <li>Outline Fisheries liaison and coexistence plan (OFLCP)</li> <li>Next steps for engagement post submission.</li> </ul>

### Shipping and navigation consultation

14/02/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Key shipping and navigation impacts</li> <li>Review of proposed approach to assessment.</li> </ul>
04/04/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide the evidential basis behind the current operations and constraints of ferry operations in order to inform the NRA and EIA.</li> </ul>
20/07/2022-21/07/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bridge navigation simulation preparations</li> <li>Meeting for familiarisation of navigation simulation procedure for IoMSPC by HR Wallingford.</li> </ul>
17/08/2022-19/08/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PEIR stage bridge navigation simulations.</li> </ul>
19/10/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consultation meeting.</li> </ul>
13/09/2023-14/09/2023	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Environmental Statement stage bridge navigation simulations.</li> </ul>
11/12/2023	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide an update following the Hazard workshops.</li> </ul>

### Seascape, landscape and visual resources consultation

28/09/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government Department of Infrastructure	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seascape and visual resources workshop</li> <li>Extent of the buffer to assess seascape impacts</li> <li>Principal guidance/methodology to be used for assessment</li> <li>Characterisation of the baseline environment</li> <li>Identification of the representative viewpoint locations.</li> </ul>
24/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seascape and visual resources workshop to present and agree the MDS for the Morgan SLVR assessment.</li> </ul>

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
<b>Socio-economics consultation</b>				
05/12/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project update</li> <li>Discussion on the Socio-economic impact assessment work for the EIA.</li> </ul>
<b>Aviation and radar</b>				
20/01/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of stakeholder engagement for PEIR, post-PEIR and SoCG.</li> </ul>
01/08/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of potential impact to stakeholder and agreement of SoCG for mitigation.</li> </ul>
05/09/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of potential impact to stakeholder and appropriate mitigation for the primary surveillance radar (PSR) at the Isle of Man Airport.</li> </ul>
17/04/2024	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discuss potential impacts of wind farm projects on Isle of Man Airport operations.</li> <li>Review mitigation strategies and Airport's proposed Aviation Surveillance Strategy.</li> </ul>

**Table 1.3: Summary of post-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC.**

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
25/07/2024	Relevant representations	TSC	Statutory	Relevant representations of Isle of Man TSC.
30/07/2024	Meeting	IoM DEFA, MFPO, TSC	Non-statutory	Review of the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan.
28/08/2024	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Initial discussion on SoCG content and scope.
13/09/2024	Email to Applicant	TSC	Non-statutory	Review of SoCG for submission at Deadline 1.
30/10/2024	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Review and update of SoCG for submission at Deadline 3.
08/11/2024	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Review and update of SoCG for submission at Deadline 3.
02/12/2024	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Review and update of SoCG for submission at Deadline 4.
05/12/2024	Email to Applicant	TSC	Non-statutory	Review and update of SoCG for submission at Deadline 4.
10/02/2025	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Review and update of SoCG for submission at Deadline 6.
21/02/2025	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Finalisation of SoCG for submission at Deadline 6.

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4 Agreement log

#### 1.4.1 Overview

1.4.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

**Table 1.4: Position definitions and colour coding.**

Position and colour coding	Definition of position
Agreed	The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.
Ongoing point of discussion	The matter is neither agreed or not agreed and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties.
Not agreed, but not material	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material
Not agreed	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties.

1.4.1.2 The following sections set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.2).



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.2 Seascape, landscape and visual resources

Table 1.5 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to seascape, landscape and visual resources.

**Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on seascape, landscape and visual resources.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.SVR.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on seascape, landscape and visual resources.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on seascape, landscape and visual resources.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to seascape, landscape and visual resources, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for seascape, landscape and visual resources is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for seascape, landscape and visual resources is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SVR.8	Assessment methodology	Agreement on the approach to assessment methodology including viewpoints for inclusion.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on seascape, landscape and visual receptors for the Morgan Generation Assets alone with the exception of Marine Character Area (MCA) 38 Irish Sea South (the area occupied by the Morgan Generation Assets) during construction and decommissioning.	TSC can confirm it's satisfied with the selection and assessment of visual receptors and the re-stated position in the annex (REP3-010) submitted at DL3. TSC agree with the conclusion of the assessment.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	<p>There will be no significant effects on seascape, landscape and visual receptors for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans with the exception of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MCA 38: Irish Sea South, for which significant cumulative effects will arise during operation and maintenance phase resulting from the addition of Morgan Generation Assets alongside Morecambe Generation Assets (Scenario 2).</li> <li>Isle of Man Landscape Character Types: LCT E Rugged Coast, LCT D Incised Slopes and LCT H Coastal Cliffs for which is potentially significant cumulative effects will arise during the operations and maintenance phase due to the addition of Morgan alongside Tier 1 projects.</li> <li>Visual receptors – national trails and long distance paths – Raad ny Foillan Coastal Path will experience potentially significant cumulative visual effects during the operations and maintenance phase due to the addition of Morgan alongside Tier 1 projects.</li> </ul>	TSC can confirm it's satisfied with the selection and assessment of visual receptors and the re-stated position in the annex (REP3-010) submitted at DL3. TSC agree with the conclusion of the assessment.	Agreed
TSC.SVR.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.3 Benthic ecology and physical processes

Table 1.6 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to benthic ecology and physical processes.

**Table 1.6: Agreement Log between the parties on benthic ecology and physical processes.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.BE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on benthic ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.BE.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on benthic subtidal ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.BE.3	Surveys	The approach to benthic ecology site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.4	Baseline environment	Sufficient site-specific and desktop data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline benthic subtidal ecology environment to inform the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.5	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.6	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of benthic subtidal ecology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02).	Agreed	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.BE.7	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) in the EIA are appropriate including offshore developments within Manx territorial waters.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on benthic subtidal ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on benthic subtidal ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.BE.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

### Draft DCO

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.BE.12	Monitoring requirements / conditions	<p>The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to new monitoring utilising scheduled pre- and post construction surveys to monitor the colonisation around a representative sample of any novel foundations (i.e. gravity base foundation structures) in addition to reviewing any suitable Drop Down Video (DDV) data available for the identification of INNS (subject to data quality) (as detailed in S_D6_17 Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology F02) and the In-Principle Monitoring Plan has been updated.</p>	<p>Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).</p> <p>MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.</p>	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.4 Fish and shellfish ecology

Table 1.7 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to fish and shellfish ecology.

**Table 1.7: Agreement Log between the parties on fish and shellfish ecology.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.FSE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on fish and shellfish ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (S_D6_18 Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish F02) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on fish and shellfish ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.3	Surveys	The approach to fish and shellfish ecology site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.4	Baseline environment	Sufficient site-specific and desktop data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline fish and shellfish ecology environment to inform the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.5	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.6	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of fish and shellfish ecology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (S_D6_18 Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish F02).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.7	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.FSE.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (S_D6_18 Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish F02) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on fish and shellfish ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone with the exception of piling impacts.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on fish and shellfish ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects, with the exception of piling impacts.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone and cumulatively with other projects	For piling impacts, no significant effects are predicted on fish and shellfish receptors, other than cod and herring during the spawning period.  The Applicant has committed to new monitoring of queen scallop within and around the Morgan Array Area. Monitoring of queen scallop is likely to take the form of pre- and post- construction dredge surveys for up to five years post-construction.	TSC will continue to engage with the Applicant in the development of the UWSMS pre-construction to mitigate potential impacts associated with piling and underwater sound.  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed
TSC.FSE.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (S_D6_18 Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided (with the exception of underwater sound).	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.FSE.13	Mitigation	The Underwater sound management strategy (UWSMS) (APP-068) is appropriate and will ensure significant effects from underwater sound are avoided.	TSC will continue to engage with the Applicant in the development of the UWSMS pre-construction to mitigate potential impacts associated with piling and underwater sound.  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed
<b>Draft DCO</b>				
TSC.FSE.14	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (S_D6_18 Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.  The Applicant has committed to new monitoring of queen scallop within and around the Morgan Array Area. Monitoring of queen scallop is likely to take the form of pre- and post- construction dredge surveys for up to five years post-construction.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.5 Shipping and lifeline ferry services

1.4.5.1 Table 1.8 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to shipping and navigation.

**Table 1.8: Agreement Log between the parties on shipping and navigation.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.SN.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the IoMSPC, IoM DoI and IoMG on potential impacts on shipping and navigation.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by the IoMSPC, IoM DoI and IoMG through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on shipping and navigation.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.3	Baseline environment	The baseline for shipping and navigation has been appropriately characterised and appropriate data has been used to inform assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.4	Baseline environment	The potential effects identified within the chapter represent a comprehensive list of potential effects on shipping and navigation from the Morgan Generation Assets.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.5	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for shipping and navigation is appropriate (including interpretation of impact and levels of significance).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.6	Assessment methodology	The navigation simulations were conducted in a fair and reasonable manner, and are appropriate for informing Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.7	Assessment methodology	The Hazard Workshop was undertaken allowing adequate stakeholder input into the risk assessment and are reflected within the NRA conclusions set out in section 1.11 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.8	Assessment methodology	Relevant cumulative projects have been identified and are included within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.9	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (S_D6_21 Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation F02) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.11		Hazards have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP)) and there are no unacceptable hazards.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.12		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.13		The Morgan Generation Assets would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.14		The Morgan Generation Assets could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services due to adverse weather routing for IoMSPC.	TSC agrees there could be potentially significant effects on lifeline ferry services due to adverse weather routing. TSC requests continued engagement with IoMSPC as operator of the island's lifeline ferries.	Agreed
TSC.SN.15		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.16	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm	Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.17		Hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if ALARP) and there are no unacceptable hazards.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.18		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.19		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.20		<p>The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) could have potential significant effects due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adverse weather routing for IoMSPC.</li> </ul>	<p>TSC agrees there could be potentially significant effects on lifeline ferry services due to adverse weather routing.</p> <p>TSC requests continued engagement with IoMSPC as operator of the island's lifeline ferries.</p>	Agreed
TSC.SN.21		<p>All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.</p>	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.22	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively including Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm	<p>Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.</p>	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SN.23		<p>Allision and collision risk hazards between the Morgan Array Area and Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary could be unacceptable. All other hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin) have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if ALARP).</p> <p>Following Mooir Vannin's Hazard Workshop (12 December 2024), the Applicant undertook (in collaboration with the relevant stakeholders) further technical clarification work (in the form of a Navigation Simulation exercise) on the new gap (4.1nm) between the projects.</p> <p>The Applicant and the MCA have concluded that there are no unacceptable risks to navigational safety associated with the Morgan Generation Assets and all risks have been reduced to ALARP.</p>	<p>Broadly Agree, noting that 4.1 nm is concluded as ALARP following the hazard review session on the basis of the navigation simulations from January 2025. The TSC note that concerns remain with commercial shipping companies.</p> <p>TSC requests continued engagement with Ferry operators specifically the IoMSPC as operator of the Island's lifeline ferries.</p>	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.24		<p>The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (including Moir Vannin) can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.</p> <p>Following Moir Vannin's Hazard Workshop (12 December 2024), the Applicant undertook (in collaboration with the relevant stakeholders) further technical clarification work (in the form of a Navigation Simulation exercise) on the new gap (4.1nm) between the projects.</p> <p>The Applicant has concluded that there are no unacceptable risks to navigational safety associated with the Morgan Generation Assets and all risks have been reduced to ALARP, which has been supported by the MCA.</p> <p>No further mitigation is required.</p>	<p>TSC broadly Agree, noting that 4.1nm is concluded as ALARP following the hazard review session on the basis of the navigation simulations from January 2025.</p> <p>TSC note that concerns remain with commercial shipping companies.</p> <p>TSC requests continued engagement with Ferry operators specifically the IOMSPC as operator of the Island's lifeline ferries.</p>	Agreed
TSC.SN.25		<p>The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Moir Vannin) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).</p>	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.26		<p>The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin scoping boundary) could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services and collision and allision risk to vessels.</p> <p>Following Mooir Vannin's Hazard Workshop (12 December 2024), the Applicant undertook (in collaboration with the relevant stakeholders) further technical clarification work (in the form of a Navigation Simulation exercise) on the new gap (4.1nm) between the projects. The Applicant has concluded that there are no unacceptable risks to navigational safety associated with the Morgan Generation Assets and all risks have been reduced to ALARP.</p>	<p>Agree broadly, note that 4.1nm is concluded as ALARP following hazard review session on the basis of the navigation simulations from January 2025. Note that concerns remain with commercial shipping companies.</p> <p>TSC requests continued engagement with Ferry operators specifically the IOMSPC as operator of the Island's lifeline ferries.</p>	Agreed
TSC.SN.27		<p>Other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.</p>	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.6 Socio-economics

Table 1.9 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to socio-economics.

**Table 1.9: Agreement Log between the parties on socio-economics.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.SE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on socio-economics.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.2	Consultation	Volume 4, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on socio-economics.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to socio-economics, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for socio-economics is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SE.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for socio-economics is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SE.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SE.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SE.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of socio-economics receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017).	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SE.9	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017) are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.SE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	<p>There will be no significant adverse effects on socio-economics receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.</p> <p>The Applicant and the IoMSPC are engaging on a commercial side agreement to offset the residual moderate impact on shipping operations (which is significant in EIA terms – linked to TSC.SN.14), with the aim of completing this process by the end of the examination.</p> <p>If the Ferry Cost Mitigation Agreement is not in place by Deadline 6, the parties are committed to continuing engagement on the ferry mitigation agreement as a priority and will provide an update to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero at the appropriate time.</p>	Subject to confirmation from the IoMSPC that the commercial side agreement have been agreed in principle, TSC is minded to be agreed.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SE.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	<p>There will be no significant effects on socio-economics receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans.</p> <p>The Applicant and the IoMSPC are engaging on a commercial side agreement to offset the residual moderate impact on shipping operations (which is significant in EIA terms – linked to TSC.SN.20), with the aim of completing this process by the end of the examination.</p> <p>If the Ferry Cost Mitigation Agreement is not in place by Deadline 6, the parties are committed to continuing engagement on the ferry mitigation agreement as a priority and will provide an update to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero at the appropriate time.</p>	Subject to confirmation from the IoMSPC that the commercial side agreement have been agreed in principle, TSC is minded to be agreed.	Ongoing point of discussion

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SE.12	Mitigation	<p>The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.</p> <p>The Applicant and the IoMSPC are engaging on a commercial side agreement to offset the residual moderate impact on shipping operations (which is significant in EIA terms – linked to TSC.SN.26), with the aim of completing this process by the end of the examination.</p> <p>If the Ferry Cost Mitigation Agreement is not in place by Deadline 6, the parties are committed to continuing engagement on the ferry mitigation agreement as a priority and will provide an update to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero at the appropriate time.</p>	Subject to confirmation from the IoMSPC that the commercial side agreement have been agreed in principle, TSC is minded to be agreed.	Ongoing point of discussion

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.7 Marine mammals

Table 1.10 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to marine mammals.

**Table 1.10: Agreement Log between the parties on marine mammals.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.MM.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (S_D6_19 Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals F04) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.3	Surveys	Aerial surveys with respect to marine mammals, in particular the use of an appropriate buffer around the Morgan Array Area, are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.4	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for marine mammals is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.5	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for marine mammals is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (S_D6_19 Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals F04) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.MM.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of marine mammal receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (S_D6_19 Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals F04).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.9	Assessment methodology	The approach to underwater sound modelling and approach to assessment of underwater sound impacts is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.10	Assessment methodology	The scoping of species to be included within the assessments is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.11	Assessment methodology	The approach to densities and reference populations is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.12	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.13	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	Other than unexploded ordnance (UXO) impacts, there will be no significant effects on marine mammal receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	None listed as significant – Agreed.	Agreed
TSC.MM.14	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	Other than piling and UXO impacts, there will be no significant effects on marine mammal receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively.	TSC will continue to engage with the Applicant in the development of the UWSMS and MMMP pre-construction to mitigate potential impacts associated with piling and underwater sound.  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.MM.15	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	For UXO impacts, although a significant effect (injury) on harbour porpoise is predicted any such effects will be managed and avoided through measures set out in the outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP) (APP-072) and outline UWSMS (APP-068), which will be agreed with stakeholders post-consent.	TSC will continue to engage with the Applicant in the development of the UWSMS and MMMP pre-construction to mitigate potential impacts associated with piling and underwater sound.  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed
TSC.MM.16	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	For piling impacts, although a significant cumulative effect (in EIA terms) is predicted on bottlenose dolphin, any such effects will be managed and avoided through measures set out in the outline UWSMS (APP-068), which will be agreed with stakeholders post-consent.	TSC will continue to engage with the Applicant in the development of the UWSMS and MMMP pre-construction to mitigate potential impacts associated with piling and underwater sound.  MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.	Agreed
TSC.MM.17	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (S_D6_19 Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals F04) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed

### Draft DCO

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.MM.18	Monitoring requirements / conditions	<p>The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (S_D6_19 Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals F04) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.</p> <p>The In-Principle Monitoring Plan has been updated to include measurements of underwater sound generated by the installation of the first four piled foundations of each piled foundation type and associated marine mammal monitoring, to be set out in the MMMP.</p>	<p>Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).</p> <p>MMO will consult IoM TSC, where necessary, and ensure their points are included for condition discharges or rejections.</p>	Agreed



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.8 Offshore ornithology

Table 1.11 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to offshore ornithology.

**Table 1.11: Agreement Log between the parties on offshore ornithology.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.OO.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the IoM Defa on potential impacts on offshore ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (S_D6_20 Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology F02) has had due regard to matters raised by the IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on offshore ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies identified as relevant to offshore ornithology, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.4	Surveys	The approach to site-specific digital aerial surveys is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.5	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for offshore ornithology is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.OO.6	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for offshore ornithology is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.7	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 5 Offshore ornithology (S_D6_20 Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology F02) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OO.9	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of offshore ornithology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (S_D6_20 Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology F02).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.10	Assessment methodology	The approaches to displacement, collision risk modelling (CRM), apportioning and population viability analysis (PVA) assessment methodologies are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.11	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.OO.12	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on offshore ornithology receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.OO.13	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on ornithology receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects.	Agreed for IoM impacts.	Agreed
TSC.OO.14	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	Agreement on approach to cumulative assessment for projects where impact quantification is unavailable.	Agreed	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OO.15	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (S_D6_20 Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed
<b>Draft DCO</b>				
TSC.OO.16	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (S_D6_20 Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.9 Commercial fisheries

1.4.9.1 Table 1.12 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to commercial fisheries.

**Table 1.12: Agreement Log between the parties on commercial fisheries.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.CF.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the MFPO, IOMG and IoM Defa on potential impacts on commercial fisheries.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by the MFPO, IOMG and IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on commercial fisheries.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.3	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for commercial fisheries is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.4	Surveys	The approach to commercial fisheries site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.5	Baseline environment	The baseline for commercial fisheries has been appropriately characterised.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.6	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for commercial fisheries is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.7	Assessment methodology	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-024) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on commercial fisheries in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone taking into account proposed mitigation.	Agreed	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.CF.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	<p>There will be no significant effects on commercial fisheries in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects taking into account proposed mitigation.</p> <p>The Applicant has included additional monitoring of queen scallop in the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan submitted at Deadline 2 (REP2-019). Monitoring of queen scallop is likely to take the form of pre- and post- construction dredge surveys for up to five years post-construction.</p> <p>Following discussions with IoM TSC (08/11/2024) the Applicant can confirm that monitoring of scallop will be undertaken. Monitoring will cover both queen and king scallops. The monitoring will be consistent with other regional monitoring programmes and will liaise with relevant organisations including AFBI and Bangor University.</p> <p>The Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP) has been updated at Deadline 3 with a further update to reflect this submitted at Deadline 4. The Offshore In Principle Monitoring Plan (IPMP) and Outline FLCP have been updated at Deadline 6 to reflect monitoring both species of scallop equally.</p>	<p>TSC is minded to agree that the principles of the mitigation measures and conditions are appropriate subject to review and agreement of the updated Offshore IPMP and will confirm in the final SoCG.</p> <p><b>Final position 10/02/25:</b></p> <p>Applicant to update wording in Offshore IPMP and OFLCP with regards to consideration of king scallop so they are equally considered.</p>	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.CF.11	Mitigation	<p>The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-024), outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP) (APP-065) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.</p> <p>The agreement statement is updated to reflect that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Applicant has secured monitoring of fishing activity and scallops (king and queen) for at least up to five years post-construction in the Outline FLCP (noting the commitment to adopt an adaptive approach to this monitoring)</li> <li>The updated Offshore In Principle Monitoring Plan (IPMP) submitted at Deadline 5 secures the Applicants commitment that it will engage with stakeholders on the results (through annual and final monitoring reports) and agree next steps as appropriate</li> <li>If it is evidenced following a period of adaptive monitoring that the effect on Queen scallop is significantly worse than predicted in the ES, the Applicant has committed to engaging with the MMO and relevant stakeholders to establish an appropriate adaptive management intervention measure.</li> </ul>	<p>TSC is minded to agree that the principles of the mitigation measures and conditions are appropriate subject to review and agreement of the updated Offshore IPMP and will confirm in the final SoCG.</p> <p><b>Final position 10/02/25:</b></p> <p>Applicant to update wording in Offshore IPMP and OFLCP with regards to consideration of king scallop so they are equally considered.</p> <p>Concern that if the SMZ is the 34km<sup>2</sup> option then mitigation would be less effective for commercial fisheries than the 37km<sup>2</sup> option.</p>	Agreed
<b>Other Documents and Plans</b>				
TSC.CF.12	Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP)	An outline of this plan has been submitted as part of the Application (APP-065). The co-existence approach for commercial fisheries will be agreed through the development and implementation of the FLCP.	TSC agrees that the co-existence approach for commercial fisheries will be agreed through the development and implementation of the FLCP.	Agreed

### Draft DCO

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.CF.13	Monitoring requirements / conditions	<p>The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-024) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) (updated as the Commitments Register submitted at Deadline 5) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.</p> <p>The Offshore In Principle Monitoring Plan (IPMP) and Outline FLCP have been updated at Deadline 6 to reflect monitoring both species of scallop equally.</p>	<p>TSC is minded to agree that the principles of the mitigation measures and conditions are appropriate subject to review and agreement of the updated Offshore IPMP and will confirm in the final SoCG.</p> <p><b>Final position 10/02/25:</b></p> <p>Applicant to update wording in Offshore IPMP and OFLCP with regards to consideration of king scallop so they are equally considered.</p>	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.10 Other sea users

Table 1.13 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to other sea users.

**Table 1.13: Agreement Log between the parties on other sea users.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.OSU.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on other sea users.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on other sea users.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to other sea users, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for other sea users is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for other sea users is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed



## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OSU.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of other sea users receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.9	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02) are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on other sea users receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on other sea users receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed

## MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

### 1.4.11 Manx National Heritage

Table 1.14 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to Manx National Heritage.

**Table 1.14: Agreement Log between the parties on Manx National Heritage.**

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
<b>EIA</b>				
TSC.MNH.1	Consultation	<p>The applicant notes that a letter of comfort was agreed with Manx National Heritage, as the Isle of Man statutory consultee for Heritage matters, which concluded they were “not opposed to the Morgan Offshore Wind Farm Generation Assets development.</p> <p>The Examining Authority has responded to this letter noting that Manx National Heritage are not an IP to this application, and therefore the applicant requests that the TSC, as an IP responding on behalf of the Isle of Man, can confirm that this reflects the national position.</p>	The TSC confirms that this reflects the IOM position in regards to heritage assets.	Agreed